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## 1 Regular quadratic forms and lattices

### 1.1 Definitions and basic properties

Definition: [L.E. Dickson, Ann. of Math., 1927] A positive definite integral quadratic form $f$ in $n$ variables is said to be regular if for positive integers $a$ the equation

$$
f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=a
$$

is solvable in integers $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$ whenever it is true that for every positive integer $m$ the congruence

$$
f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \equiv a \quad(\bmod m)
$$

is solvable in integers $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$.

## Lattice formulation:

Let $L$ be a $\mathbb{Z}$-lattice on a positive definite rational quadratic space $(V, Q)$. For a prime $p$, let $L_{p}$ denote the local completion of $L$ at $p$, and let gen $(L)$ be the genus of $L$.
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Let $L$ be a $\mathbb{Z}$-lattice on a positive definite rational quadratic space $(V, Q)$. For a prime $p$, let $L_{p}$ denote the local completion of $L$ at $p$, and let gen $(L)$ be the genus of $L$.

For a positive integer $a$, write $a \rightarrow L$ or $a \in Q(L)$ if there exists $v \in L$ such that $Q(v)=a$, and $a \rightarrow L_{p}$ if there exists $v \in L_{p}$ such that $Q(v)=a$. If $S$ is a set of positive integers, then $S \rightarrow L$ or $S \subseteq Q(L)$ will mean $a \rightarrow L$ for all $a \in S$. Write $a \rightarrow \operatorname{gen}(L)$ if there exists $L^{\prime} \in \operatorname{gen}(L)$ such that $a \rightarrow L^{\prime}$.

## Lattice formulation:

Let $L$ be a $\mathbb{Z}$-lattice on a positive definite rational quadratic space $(V, Q)$. For a prime $p$, let $L_{p}$ denote the local completion of $L$ at $p$, and let $\operatorname{gen}(L)$ be the genus of $L$.

For a positive integer $a$, write $a \rightarrow L$ or $a \in Q(L)$ if there exists $v \in L$ such that $Q(v)=a$, and $a \rightarrow L_{p}$ if there exists $v \in L_{p}$ such that $Q(v)=a$. If $S$ is a set of positive integers, then $S \rightarrow L$ or $S \subseteq Q(L)$ will mean $a \rightarrow L$ for all $a \in S$. Write $a \rightarrow \operatorname{gen}(L)$ if there exists $L^{\prime} \in \operatorname{gen}(L)$ such that $a \rightarrow L^{\prime}$.

Definition: The lattice $L$ is regular if, for positive integers $a$, $a \rightarrow L$ whenever $a \rightarrow L_{p}$ for all $p$.

Equivalently: The lattice $L$ is regular if, for positive integers a, $a \rightarrow L$ whenever $a \rightarrow \operatorname{gen}(L)$.

A few observations:

- The regular lattices are those for which a local-global principle holds for the representation of integers.
- Every universal lattice is regular.
- Every lattice having class number 1 is regular.
- Regularity is preserved by scaling.
- If a lattice is regular or universal, then so is any lattice in its isometry class. So in counting regular or universal lattices, the count will always refer to the number of isometry classes of primitive lattices with the stated property.


### 1.2 Regular ternary quadratic forms and lattices

B.W. Jones thesis (1928): There exist 102 diagonal regular ternary quadratic forms.
G.L. Watson thesis (1953): There exist only finitely many regular ternary quadratic forms.
G.L. Watson (1954): Asymptotic growth of the exceptional set with the discriminant.

Jagy, Kaplansky \& Schiemann (1997): There are at most 913 regular ternary quadratic forms, of which 119 have class number exceeding 1. (Regularity has not yet been proven for 14 of these forms - see B.-K. Oh, Acta Arith., 2011, and R. Lemke Oliver, preprint, 2013)

### 1.3 Regular quaternary quadratic forms and lattices

Ramanujan (1917): There exist 54 diagonal universal quaternary quadratic forms.

Bhargava \& Hanke (290-Theorem): There exist 6436 universal quaternary lattices.

### 1.3 Regular quaternary quadratic forms and lattices

Ramanujan (1917): There exist 54 diagonal universal quaternary quadratic forms.

Bhargava \& Hanke (290-Theorem): There exist 6436 universal quaternary lattices.

E (1995): There exist infinitely many (nonisometric) regular quaternary lattices.
B.M. Kim (unpublished) has determined all regular diagonal quaternary lattices. The list of these lattices consists of 106 individual lattices and 180 infinite families.
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Definitions: A vector $v \in L$ is primitive in $L$, denoted $v{ }^{*} L$, if $\{v\}$ can be extended to a basis for $L$. A positive integer $a$ is primitively represented by $L$, denoted $a \xrightarrow{*} L$ or $a \in Q^{*}(L)$, if there exists $v \stackrel{*}{\in} L$ such that $Q(v)=a$.
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2.1 Statement of results

Definitions: A vector $v \in L$ is primitive in $L$, denoted $v \stackrel{*}{\in} L$, if $\{v\}$ can be extended to a basis for $L$. A positive integer $a$ is primitively represented by $L$, denoted $a \xrightarrow{*} L$ or $a \in Q^{*}(L)$, if there exists $v \stackrel{*}{\in} L$ such that $Q(v)=a$.

Definition: A lattice $L$ is strictly regular if, for positive integers $a, a \xrightarrow{*} L$ whenever $a \xrightarrow{*} L_{p}$ for all $p$. (Terminology due to Watson (1976))

Remark: If $L$ is strictly regular, then $L$ is regular.

Theorem 1: There exist only finitely many strictly regular primitive quaternary lattices.
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### 2.2 Successive Minima

For a lattice $L$ of rank $n$, let $\mu_{i}(L)$ denote the $i$ th successive minimum of $L$, for $1 \leq i \leq n$. Then there exists a linearly independent set of vectors $\left\{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n}\right\}$ such that $Q\left(v_{i}\right)=\mu_{i}(L)$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$, and

$$
d L \leq \prod_{i=1}^{n} \mu_{i}(L)
$$

It can be proved using character sum estimates that there exists a constant $C$ such that $\mu_{i}(L) \leq C$ for $1 \leq i \leq 3$ for all regular lattices of rank at least 4.

It can be proved using character sum estimates that there exists a constant $C$ such that $\mu_{i}(L) \leq C$ for $1 \leq i \leq 3$ for all regular lattices of rank at least 4.

When $n=4$, we will refer to the primitive sublattice of $L$ generated by $\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, v_{3}\right\}$ as a "leading ternary sublattice" of $L$. If $T$ is a leading ternary sublattice of the quaternary lattice $L$ then:

- $\mu_{i}(T)=\mu_{i}(L)$ for $1 \leq i \leq 3$;
- for $v \in T, v \stackrel{*}{\in} T$ if and only if $v \stackrel{*}{\in} L$;
- if $v \in L \backslash T$, then $\mu_{4}(L) \leq Q(v)$.


### 2.3 Watson transformations

Let $L$ be a positive definite quadratic $\mathbb{Z}$-lattice with $\mathfrak{s} L \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$. We will say that $L$ is primitive if $\mathfrak{s} L=\mathbb{Z} ; L$ is even if $\mathfrak{n} L \subseteq 2 \mathbb{Z}$, and odd otherwise. For a primitive lattice $L$ and positive integer $m$, define the sublattice

$$
\Lambda_{m}(L)=\{x \in L: Q(x+y)-Q(y) \in m \mathbb{Z} \text { for all } y \in L\} .
$$

For an odd prime $p$, define $\delta_{p}(L)$ to be the primitive lattice obtained from $\Lambda_{p}(L)$ upon scaling by a suitable power of $p$. For an odd (even, resp.) lattice $L$, define $\delta_{2}(L)$ to be the primitive lattice obtained from $\Lambda_{2}(L)\left(\Lambda_{4}(L)\right.$, resp.) upon scaling by a suitable power of 2. [J. Bochnak \& B.-K. Oh, Ann. Inst. Fourier, Grenoble, 2008]

Lemma: Let $L$ be a strictly regular primitive quaternary lattice and let $p$ be a prime.
i) If $2 \mathbb{Z}_{p} \nsubseteq Q\left(L_{p}\right)$, then $\delta_{p}(L)$ is strictly regular;
ii) There exists a nonnegative integer $k$ such that $\delta_{p}^{k}(L)$ is strictly regular and $2 \mathbb{Z}_{p} \rightarrow \delta_{p}^{k}(L)$.
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### 2.4 Outline of proof of Theorem 1

Suppose on the contrary that there exists an infinite family $\mathcal{R}$ of non-isometric strictly regular primitive quaternary lattices. Since the lattices in $\mathcal{R}$ are regular, the prime divisors of the discriminants of the lattices in $\mathcal{R}$ lie in a fixed finite set [Bochnak \& Oh]. So there exists some prime $q$ such that the powers of $q$ dividing the discriminants of lattices in $\mathcal{R}$ are unbounded.

For $L \in \mathcal{R}$ and a prime $p \neq q$, there exists a nonnegative integer $k$ such that the lattice $\delta_{p}^{k}(L)$ satisfies the properties

- $\delta_{p}^{k}(L)$ is strictly regular;
- $2 \mathbb{Z}_{p} \rightarrow \delta_{p}^{k}(L)_{p}$;
- $d L$ and $d\left(\delta_{p}^{k}(L)\right)$ are divisible by the same powers of $q$.

For $L \in \mathcal{R}$ and a prime $p \neq q$, there exists a nonnegative integer $k$ such that the lattice $\delta_{p}^{k}(L)$ satisfies the properties

- $\delta_{p}^{k}(L)$ is strictly regular;
- $2 \mathbb{Z}_{p} \rightarrow \delta_{p}^{k}(L)_{p}$;
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So without loss of generality we may assume that for all primes $p \neq q, 2 \mathbb{Z}_{p} \rightarrow L_{p}$ for all $L \in \mathcal{R}$.
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So without loss of generality we may assume that for all primes $p \neq q, 2 \mathbb{Z}_{p} \rightarrow L_{p}$ for all $L \in \mathcal{R}$.

For all lattices $L \in \mathcal{R}, L$ is regular and so $\mu_{i}(L)$ is bounded $i=1,2,3$. Consequently only finitely many ternary lattices can occur among the leading ternary sublattices of the lattices in $\mathcal{R}$. So there exists a ternary lattice $T$ which occurs as a leading ternary sublattice of infinitely many lattices in $\mathcal{R}$. Let $W=\mathbb{Q} T$.

So there exists an infinite subfamily $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{R}$ such that i) $T$ is isometric to a leading ternary sublattice of $L$ for all $L \in \mathcal{F}$, and ii) the powers of $q$ dividing the discriminants of lattices in $\mathcal{F}$ are unbounded.

So there exists an infinite subfamily $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{R}$ such that i) $T$ is isometric to a leading ternary sublattice of $L$ for all $L \in \mathcal{F}$, and ii) the powers of $q$ dividing the discriminants of lattices in $\mathcal{F}$ are unbounded.

Claim: $W_{q}$ is anisotropic.

So there exists an infinite subfamily $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{R}$ such that i) $T$ is isometric to a leading ternary sublattice of $L$ for all $L \in \mathcal{F}$, and ii) the powers of $q$ dividing the discriminants of lattices in $\mathcal{F}$ are unbounded.

Claim: $W_{q}$ is anisotropic.
On the contrary, suppose that $W_{q}$ is isotropic. Then there exists some $t \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $q^{2 t} \mathbb{Z}_{q} \rightarrow T_{q}$. By a computation of Hasse symbols, there exists a prime $q^{\prime}$ such that $W_{q^{\prime}}$ is anisotropic. Then there exists an even positive integer $b$ such that $b\left(\mathbb{Q}_{q^{\prime}}^{\times}\right)^{2} \cap Q\left(W_{q^{\prime}}\right)=\emptyset$. For any $L \in \mathcal{F}, q^{2 t} b \rightarrow L_{p}$ for all $p$; since $L$ is regular, it follows that $q^{2 t} b \rightarrow L$. But $q^{2 t} b \nrightarrow T$, and it would follow that $\mu_{4}(L) \leq q^{2 t} b$ for all $L \in \mathcal{F}$, leading to a contradiction since the powers of $q$ dividing the discriminants of the lattices in $L$ are unbounded.

Lemma: There exists $\ell=\ell(T, q) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $Q^{*}\left(T_{q}\right) \cap q^{\ell} \mathbb{Z}_{q}=\emptyset$.

The proof of the lemma follows by considering various possibilities for a Jordan splitting of $T_{q}$ and using the Local Square Theorem.
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Completion of proof of Theorem 1 :
Let $v \stackrel{*}{\in} T$ be such that $q \nmid Q(v)$ and denote $Q(v)=a$. Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that $2 k \geq \ell$.

Lemma: There exists $\ell=\ell(T, q) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that
$Q^{*}\left(T_{q}\right) \cap q^{\ell} \mathbb{Z}_{q}=\emptyset$.
The proof of the lemma follows by considering various possibilities for a Jordan splitting of $T_{q}$ and using the Local Square Theorem.

Completion of proof of Theorem 1:
Let $v \stackrel{*}{\in} T$ be such that $q \nmid Q(v)$ and denote $Q(v)=a$. Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that $2 k \geq \ell$.

If $L \in \mathcal{F}$ and ord $_{q} d L$ is sufficiently large relative to $k$ and $\operatorname{ord}_{q} d T$, it can be shown that $L_{q}$ has a Jordan splitting in a basis $\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{4}\right\}$ in which

$$
\operatorname{ord}_{q} Q\left(x_{4}\right) \geq 2 k+3 \quad \text { and } \quad B\left(x_{i}, x_{4}\right)=0 \text { for } i=1,2,3 .
$$

Write $v=\sum_{i=1}^{4} a_{i} x_{i}$ with $a_{i} \in \mathbb{Z}_{q}$ and consider $v^{\prime}=v-a_{4} x_{4} \in L_{q}$. Then

$$
Q\left(v^{\prime}\right) \equiv a \quad\left(\bmod q^{2 k+3} \mathbb{Z}_{q}\right)
$$

and it follows that there exists $\xi \in \mathbb{Z}_{q}^{\times}$such that

$$
a=\xi^{2} Q\left(v^{\prime}\right)=Q\left(\xi v^{\prime}\right) .
$$

Let $w=q^{k} \xi v^{\prime}+x_{4} \stackrel{*}{\in} L_{q}$. Then

$$
Q(w) \equiv q^{2 k} a \quad\left(\bmod q^{2 k+3} \mathbb{Z}_{q}\right)
$$

and so there exists $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}_{q}^{\times}$such that

$$
q^{2 k} a=\lambda^{2} Q(w)=Q(\lambda w) .
$$

Hence, $q^{2 k} a \xrightarrow{*} L_{q}$.

Also, $q^{k} v \stackrel{*}{\in} L_{p}$ for all $p \neq q$. Hence, $q^{2 k} a \xrightarrow{*} L_{p}$ for all $p$.
Since $L$ is strictly regular, it follows that $q^{2 k} a \xrightarrow{*} L$. But $q^{2 k} a \underset{\neq}{*} T$, since $2 k \geq \ell$. It would then follow that

$$
\mu_{4}(L) \leq q^{2 k} a, \text { for all } L \in \mathcal{F},
$$

which is impossible since the discriminants of the lattices in $\mathcal{F}$ are unbounded. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.
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### 3.1 Kitaoka's characteristic submodules

Theorem: [Kitaoka, Nagoya Math. J., 1978] Let L be a lattice of rank $n$ in a nondegenerate quadratic space over $\mathbb{Q}$; then $L$ has a submodule $M$ of rank ( $n-1$ ) and $d M \neq 0$ which is a direct summand of $L$ as a module and satisfies the following condition:

Let $L^{\prime}$ be a lattice in some nondegenerate quadratic space $U^{\prime}$ over $\mathbb{Q}$ with $d L^{\prime}=d L$, rank $L^{\prime}=n$ and $t_{p}\left(L^{\prime}\right) \geq t_{p}(L)$ for all primes $p$; if $M \rightarrow L^{\prime}$, then $L^{\prime} \cong L$.
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Definition: Let $L$ be a lattice of rank $n$ and let $k \leq n$ be a positive integer. The lattice $L$ is $k$-regular if for lattices $K$ of rank $k, K \rightarrow L$ whenever $K \rightarrow \operatorname{gen}(L)$.

Corollary: If $L$ is an (n-1)-regular lattice of rank $n$, then the class number of $L$ is one.
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### 3.2 Statement of Theorem 2

The definition of $k$-regularity can be carried over verbatim to the case of an $\mathfrak{o}$-lattice on a totally definite quadratic space over a totally real algebraic number field $F$, where $\mathfrak{o}$ is the ring of integers of $F$.

Corollary: If $L$ is an (n-1)-regular lattice of rank $n$, then the class number of $L$ is one.

### 3.2 Statement of Theorem 2

The definition of $k$-regularity can be carried over verbatim to the case of an $\mathfrak{o}$-lattice on a totally definite quadratic space over a totally real algebraic number field $F$, where $\mathfrak{o}$ is the ring of integers of $F$.

Theorem 2: If $L$ is an ( $n-1$ )-regular definite lattice of rank $n \geq 2$ over the ring of integers of any totally real number field, then the class number of $L$ is one.

Note: The case $n=2$ in this theorem is a consequence of a result in Chan \& Icaza, Bull. London Math. Soc., 2008.
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## 4 Some further questions

- Does the result of Theorem 1 generalize to definite quaternary lattices over the rings of integers of totally real number fields? (The finiteness result for regular ternary lattices is generalized to this context in Chan \& Icaza, Bull. London Math. Soc., 2008.)
- Are there other positive integers $k$ and $n$ for which there exist infinitely many $k$-regular lattices of rank $n$, but only finitely many that are strictly $k$-regular? (A next interesting case to investigate seems to be the case of strictly 2-regular lattices of rank 6.)
- Do there exist any (n-2)-regular lattices of rank $n$ with class number exceeding one, for any $n \geq 4$ ?


## Thank You!!



